

Cationic Carbonyl Complexes of Platinum and Iridium

By M. J. CHURCH and M. J. MAYS*

(University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge)

THE recent synthesis of cationic carbonyl complexes of palladium¹ and platinum^{1,2} prompts us to report a more convenient reaction which we have used to prepare a wide range of cationic carbonyl complexes of platinum and iridium. In the presence of sodium perchlorate, *cis*- or *trans*-PtCl₂(PEt₃)₂ react immediately with one mole of CO under ambient conditions in acetone solution to give *trans*-PtCl(CO)(PEt₃)₂⁺ ClO₄⁻ in quantitative yield. Cationic hydrido- and aryl-platinum carbonyl complexes may be similarly prepared. The reaction of *trans*-PtHCl(PEt₃)₂ to yield *trans*-PtH(CO)(PEt₃)₂⁺ClO₄⁻ may be contrasted with its reaction with CO under pressure in the presence of aqueous tetrafluoroboric acid to give the chloro-carbonyl cation.²

Many π -bonding ligands other than CO are equally effective in displacing halogen under these conditions. For example, Me₃CNC reacts with *trans*-PtHCl(PEt₃)₂ to yield *trans*-PtH(CNCMe₃)(PEt₃)₂⁺ ClO₄⁻, the first reported complex containing both isocyanide and hydride ligands.

Under the same conditions as for the platinum complexes, iridium compounds behave rather differently and *e.g.*, IrCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂ takes up *two* moles of CO giving Ir(CO)₂(PPh₃)₂⁺. The n.m.r. spectrum of the analogous diphenylmethylphosphine complex indicates that the phosphines are *trans*; although ideally the triphenylphosphine cation has *D*_{3h} symmetry, the appearance of three CO frequencies in the i.r. spectrum implies some slight distortion due to interaction with the anion or

TABLE

Complex ^a	ν_{CO} ^a (cm. ⁻¹)	$\nu_{\text{M-H}}$ ^b (cm. ⁻¹)	τ_{MH} ^b
<i>trans</i> -PtCl(CO)(PEt ₃) ₂ ⁺	2109s	—	—
<i>trans</i> -PtH(CO)(PEt ₃) ₂ ⁺	2064s	2167s ^c	14.78
<i>trans</i> -Pt(<i>p</i> -FC ₆ H ₄)(CO)(PEt ₃) ₂ ⁺	2098s	—	—
<i>trans</i> -PtH(CNCMe ₃)(PEt ₃) ₂ ⁺	2203s (NC)	d	17.09
Ir(CO) ₃ (PPh ₃) ₂ ⁺	2010s, 2018s, 2080w	—	—
Co(CO) ₃ (PPh ₃) ₂ ⁺	2006s, 2013s, 2073w	—	—
Ir(CO)[P(OMe) ₃] ₂ (PPh ₂ Me) ₂ ⁺	1953s	—	—

^a Satisfactory analyses have been obtained for all complexes as their perchlorate salts.

^b In CHCl₃ solution.

^c Deuteriation studies indicate strong coupling of the CO and MH vibrations.

^d Not observed. In the deuteride ν_{NC} is at 2194 cm.⁻¹.

solvent. (We have prepared the analogous cobalt complex³ as its perchlorate salt, and this has an i.r. spectrum in chloroform solution almost identical with that of the iridium complex.) Again, other π -bonding ligands are effective in the reaction, *e.g.*, reaction of IrCl(CO)(PPh₂Me)₂ with P(OMe)₃ gives Ir[P(OMe)₃]₂(PPh₂Me)₂(CO) + ClO₄⁻. A representative list of the complexes we have prepared is given in the Table.

The five co-ordinate iridium complexes are of interest as potential hydroformylation catalysts, although preliminary studies indicate that the tricarbonyl complex is less effective than the known

catalyst Ru(CO)₃(PPh₃)₂.⁴ This is probably due to the ease with which the iridium complex undergoes oxidative addition reactions to give stable six-co-ordinate products. Thus hydrogen at pressures of less than one atmosphere displaces CO from Ir(CO)₃(PPh₂Me)₂⁺ giving IrH₂(CO)₂(PPh₂Me)₂⁺ (τ_{MH} , 20.4 p.p.m.; J_{PH} 15.0 c./sec.).

Analogous chloro-complexes of palladium(II), nickel(II), and rhodium(I) do not react with CO at atmospheric pressure in the presence of sodium perchlorate.

(Received, February 26th, 1968; Com. 225.)

¹ H. C. Clark, K. R. Dixon, and W. J. Jacobs, *Chem. Comm.*, 1968, 93.

² H. C. Clark, P. W. R. Corfield, K. R. Dixon, and J. A. Ibers, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 2360.

³ O. Vohler, *Chem. Ber.*, 1958, **91**, 1235.

⁴ D. Evans, J. A. Osborn, F. H. Jardine, and G. Wilkinson, *Nature*, 1965, **208**, 1203.